

23

24 25

PRECISION REPORTING AND TRANSCRIBING, INC.

P. O. Box 26163

MAIN OFFICE, RALEIGH, 832-9085 DURHAM 471-3528

CHAPEL HILL 933-3754 PITTSBORO 542-3374

Let's have it in a clinical atmosphere.

2

3

4

5

6

7

**#11** 

cks

7

8

9

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

PRECISION REPORTING AND TRANSCRIBING, INC.

P. O. Box 28163 Releigh, North Caroline 27811

THE COURT: If you can agree on some place to have the thing--why don't we do this: in the chambers of the other courtroom--totally vacant--it is comfortable down there. It is just like this place--just like mine.

MR. MURTAGH:

That would be fine.

THE COURT:

That is the place to do

that.

MR. MURTAGH: If we could set it up for 5:30, we could do that. That would be great.

MR. SEGAL: In view of the fact that you want to adjourn at a quarter of one--in view of Parkinson's Law--given all that time, we could fill it all up--may I ask to reverse our procedure? Let me hold off the argument on the Motion for Acquittal for a few minutes.

There is one--there are two side matters that need to be dealt with, one of which is our demand for Brady materials from the Government which apparently the Government is not going to at least agree with what we say are Brady materials.

That, of course, is quite important as we are on the verge of putting on our evidence. That is at least as important as the Defendant's Motion to Acquit.

(40.11

"ll cks Secondly, we have here, under subpoena, the parents of Helena Stoeckley. We have been trying to locate her. In our effort to locate her, which has been futile up until now, we have subpoenaed them as to their knowledge of her whereabouts.

I am not particularly anxious to make them do necessarily all that explanation about what they don't know about their daughter necessarily in front of the crowd. I just have a feeling, having talked to them-- on the other hand, I do need to put their testimony of record either before or after the luncheon break as to their knowledge or lack of knowledge of her whereabouts because, if we cannot find her, after such a diligent search, we will seek to have her deemed unavailable for the purpose of various 800 rules.

I only want some guidance, Your Honor, as to how you would think best we should proceed to take their testimony—certainly not done in the presence of the jury, I would think. That is a preliminary foundational matter Your Honor has to rule on.

THE COURT: You say you don't want the press to hear it either?

MR. SEGAL: I don't care. It is their feelings. I don't care, Your Honor. Probably it would be better if the world heard about it. Maybe somebody



PRECISION REPORTING AND TRANSCRIBING, INC.

P. O. Box 28163 Releigh. North Carolina 27817

this is rendered academic if they do find her.

would go out and find her.

1

2

₃. 3

5

#11

cks 9

7

6

8

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Your Honor, may I respond MR. BLACKBURN: I gave Wade this morning at 8:30 the newest address which we had. It may be that all of

MR. SEGAL: We have someone working on I would say there is some difficulty with the address that was given. There is some problem with whether that number exists. We are working on it. All I want to say is that we have an obligation to make a record as to our efforts.

One of them is, of course, we have made repeated efforts at her home, and we need to preserve that, and I am only asking some guidance. As a matter of fact, Your Honor, I don't care whether we do it in open court. I don't care if we do it in an informal session where we show up a few minutes early or later.

I am just asking for the Court to give me some direction, but I must do it. I want to release these people when we are through with them so that they can go back to their home.

THE COURT: How long will it take you to produce that evidence?

I think five or six MR. SEGAL: minutes questioning. They say they have not seen her



PRECISION REPORTING AND TRANSCRIBING, INC.

O. Box 20163 Jelgh. North Carolina: 27011

MAIN OFFICE BALEIGH, B32 9085 471 3528 DURHAM CHAPEL HILL 933 3754 PITTSBORO 542-3374

Filed 03/30/2006



'11

cks 10

PRECISION REPORTING AND TRANSCRIBING, INC.

P. O. Box 20163 Rainigh. North Carolina 27511

THE COURT:

Why not?

2

1

3

5

#11 cks

11

6

7

9

8

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

MR. MURTAGH: Judge, she can't testify to anything. She thinks she was involved and that's it.

But you have been aware THE COURT: for five years that the Defendant was going to try to get her testimony in if she was not available.

MR. BLACKBURN: We have been trying to locate her. Because of that very reason, we are not going to stand up and argue we cannot locate her and not have her in the trial. We know that two weeks ago-because Wade himself remarked to me a couple of days ago that she was in Dorothea Dix Hospital at some time.

She simply moves around. We had the FBI looking most of last week trying to locate her solely for this reason. In other words, a . subpoena issued maybe three months ago might not have helped because God knows where she is recently.

MR. SEGAL: I don't think anybody is hiding her out. I think she is a difficult witness to There is no question about it. I think the address we had been given in '75 and '79 was her parents' address; that is the best anybody has.

We have her brother's address now. We have been up to his home. She's been in and out of there. All I want to do is simply--I think we have to make



PRECISION REPORTING AND TRANSCRIBING, INC.



#11 cks

12

Car	se 3.75-ci-00026-F Document 132-14 Filed 03/30/2006 Page	9 01 44(1)
1		
2	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
3	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA	
4	FAYETTEVILLE DIVISION	
5	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )	
6	v. ) No. 75-26-CR-3	
7	)	
8	JEFFREY R. MacDONALD, )	
9	Defendant. )	
10	TRIAL BEFORE	
11	THE HONORABLE FRANKLIN T. DUPREE, JR.	i
12	UNITED STATES CHIEF DISTRICT JUDGE	
13	AND A JURY	
14	AT RALEIGH: WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 15, 1979	`
15	HI RALLIGH: WEDNESDAI, ROGOSI 15, 1979	
16	PAGES 5244-5493 TRIAL DAY NINETEEN	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		



Case	13:75-cr-00026-1 - Document 132-14 - Hied-03/30/2006 - Page-10-of-44
1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	On Behalf of the United States:
4	GEORGE M. ANDERSON United States Attorney
5	By: JAMES L. BLACKBURN
6	Post Office Box 26897 Raleigh, North Caroina 27611
7	(919) 755-4530
8	·
9	
10	BRIAN MURTAGH, Attorney Criminal Division
11	United States Department of Justice Washington, D.C. 20530
12	
13	On Behalf of the Defendant MacDonald:
14	BERNARD L. SEGAL, Attorney
15	SARA SIMMONS, Attorney 536 Mission Street
16	San Francisco, California 94105 (514) 543-2512
17	WADE M. SMITH, Attorney
18	Tharrington, Smith & Hargrove 300 Branch Bank Building
19	Post Office Box 1151 Raleigh, North Carolina 27602
20	(919) 821-4711
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



Cas	e 3:75-cr-000	26-F Docum	nent 132-14	Filed 03/30/	<del>/2006 Page</del>	11014(52.16
1			OF			
2		<del></del>				
3	WITNESSES	<u>i</u>	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	VOIR DIRE
4	FOR THE D	EFENDANT				
5	DR. JOHN	THORNTON				
6	By Mr.	Murtagh		5249-5290		<b>,</b>
7				5318-5331	5006 5003	
8	By Mr.	Segal	5302-5318		5296-5301 5331-5332	
9	DR. GEORG	E PODGORNY				
10	By Mr.	Smith	5333-5370		5400-5404	
11	By Mr.	Blackburn		5371-5399		
12	CHARLES B	. MORTON	·			
13	By Mr.	Segal	5405-5415 5417-5434		5444	
14	Dec. Mar.	Maria a ala		5434-5443		5415-5416
15	_	Murtagh		5434-5443		3413-3410
16	JAMES MIL	<del></del>				
17	By Mr.	Smith	5445-5463		5485	
	By Mr.	Blackburn		5463-5485		
18	By The	Court (Exa	m) 5485-54	186		
19	BENCH CON	IFERENCES .	Page	= :	6-5259 1-5295	
20					5-5306 2-5383	
21		,			8-5491	
22						
23						
24						
25						
İ						



PRECISION REPORTING AND TRANSCRIBING, INC.

P. O. Box 25163 Releigh, North Carolina 27611 MAIN OFFICE, RALEIGH, 832-9085 DURHAM 471-3528

CHAPEL HILL 933-3754
PITTSBORO 542-3374

Cas	e 3:75-cr-	-00026-F Document 132-14 Filed 03/30/20	06 Page 12 of 44
1		TABLE OF CONTENTS	(Continued)
2			
3		EXHIBITS	
4	•		
5	NUMBER	R DESCRIPTION	MARKED RECEIVED
6	DEFEND	DANT	r'
7	67	Photo, flower pot	5304
8	68	Report to FBI by Stombaugh	5318
9	69	White flower pot	5332
10	70	Broken white flower pot	5332
11	71	Torso of a body w/display of lungs	5344
12	72	Life-size body chart	5349
13	73	X-rays,chest area	5361
14	74	X-rays, chest area	5361 '
15	75	X-rays, chest area	5363
16	76-79	X-rays, chest area	5366
17	80	Blow-up picture of front yard	5459
18	81	Blow-up, photo of print ridges on blue sheet	5422
19	82	Photo w/print ridges on blue sheet	5422
20			:
21		•	
22			
23			
24			
25			



THIS CAUSE came on for further

2

1

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

trial before The Honorable Franklin

T. Dupree, Jr., United States Chief
District Judge, and a jury, on
Wednesday, August 15, 1979, at
Raleigh, North Carolina.

(The following proceedings were held in the presence of the jury and alternates.)

THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. I say, welcome again to the Honorable William H. Bobbitt, Chief Justice Emeritus. I am not sure that the jury met you yesterday, so I wanted to reintroduce you, sir. We are honored to have you with us again.

Any further evidence for the Defendant in the case? You had some more questions, did you?

MR. MURTAGH:

Yes, sir.

(Whereupon,

DR. JOHN I. THORNTON

the witness on the stand at the time of recess, resumed the stand and testified further as follows:)

(Go to the next page.)



PRECISION REPORTING AND TRANSCRIBING, INC.

P. O. Sox 20163 Releigh, North Caroline 27611

:m2

\_

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

L

BY MR. MURTAGH:

Q Dr. Thornton, yesterday, I believe, when you were describing on direct examination your experiment involving the pajama top--or, I'm sorry, a piece of dacron polyester on the sled. You described, I believe, the object on this sled and then tied by two pieces of cord; is that correct?

A No; to be precise, first of all, I did not say it was dacron polyester. That is a trade name. It was polyester cotton. The cloth was tied by three cords to the sled.

Q And was the sled secured at either end by two pieces of clothesline?

A That's right.

Q Was one piece of clothesline anchored at the other end of a table?

A Yes.

Q And the other end was held by the person who assisted you?

A Yes.

Q And it was whipped back and forth in what I believe you described as a harmonic-type motion?

A Well, the whipping back and forth of the hand



PRECISION REPORTING AND TRANSCRIBING, INC.

P. O. Box 26163 Releigh. North Carolina 27811

:m3

A No.

25

Q Would it be accurate to say that the sled was



PRECISION REPORTING AND TRANSCRIBING, INC.

P. O. Box 25163 Rainigh, North Caroline 27611



A

25

m4

ĸ!

PRECISION REPORTING AND TRANSCRIBING, INC.

Ham.

P. C. Box 20163 Releigh, North Caroline 27011



m5

PRECISION REPORTING AND TRANSCRIBING, INC.

P. O. Box 28183 Releigh. North Careline 27611

what depth? Is there a scale representation here?

A No; I did not determine that. I would estimate it at probably two inches.

Q In other words, your thrust would penetrate into the ham for approximately two inches?

A Yes.

:m6

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Did the ham absorb the thrusts, so that when the material was being whipped back and forth it supported the material and it prevented it from tearing?

A Well, it absorbed some of the energy. I don't think that that is the determinative factor in conducting the demonstration. I think that probably was the manner in which I would stab down and then pull the ice pick back up.

Q In your opinion, Dr. Thornton, without a ham or some other object like a human body beneath the fabric--if it were unsupported and moving back and forth--in your opinion, sir, would there be tearing?

A No; I think that interpretation, really, would be a perversion of this particular experiment. The experiment was designed to answer a question, which is



PRECISION REPORTING AND TRANSCRIBING, INC.

P. C. Box 20163 Rateigh, North Carolina 27611

Case	3:75 er 00026 F Document 132-14 Filed 03/30/2006 Page 19 of 44
1	whether or not a circular hole in the fabric necessarily
2	means that the fabric was stationary.
3	Q I thought I understood you to say on direct
4	examination that the unsupported fabricand I believe
5	one of your photo macrographs showed a torn hole; is that
6	correct?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Did that torn hole result from an unsupported
9	piece of fabric being stabbed?
10	A Yes.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



:m7

BY MR. MURTAGH:

Q Okay, now, with regard to---

A (Interposing) I don't mean to contribute
to any confusion here. This outline is what I consider
to be a plausible explanation for my observed results.
The question of the support versus non-support is really
another matter, and supporting the fabric I can make
some what I consider to be reasonably valid assumptions
in designing the experiment.

When we turn to unsupported fabric, then
I am not sure how I would approach the design of the
experiment.

Q Let me ask you a question: assume hypothetically that someone is wearing the pajama top, Exhibit 101, and I think yesterday on cross-examination I showed you that there are numerous puncture holes in the back of it; is that correct?

A Yes.

Q Okay, so let's assume that somebody is wearing that and that the garment has some tension on it. In other words, that the back is somewhat bowed. And that that person is being stabbed violently with an ice pick. In your opinion, sir, would that produce—would that necessarily produce torn areas in the pajama top?



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

" 2

\_ks l

PRECISION REPORTING AND TRANSCRIBING, INC.

P. C. Sox 28163 Releigh, North Carolina 27811

THE COURT: Gentlemen, first off,
and this is not why I got you up here but it is
something that is on my mind so I am going to tell you:
all these experiments and all this examining and
cross-examining and so forth--it is interesting and
it is technical and it may be going somewhere. But,
for whatever it is worth, I think this case is going



22

23

24

25

#2

५\$

PRECISION REPORTING AND TRANSCRIBING, INC.

P. O. Box 28163 Releigh, North Carolina 27611

to rise or fall on one thing and one thing alone and that is whether or not this jury buys the Defendant's story as to what happened.

That is all there is in this case. We have been here five weeks, and that is still all there is in this case. I just make that as an observation. The jury--I have done my best to keep them in line and up to now they seem pretty good and happy, but I warn you that somebody could be prejudiced by unnecessary dragging of the case out.

That is not to say I won't let everybody have his full say. I will do it if I can. What I really got you up here for is to talk about this Stoeckley problem. I understand she is in custody. I understand that she can be kept like that for 72 hours without being let out.

Now, as far as finding her and making her available is concerned, I think the Court has done about all it can do. I suggest to you that you ought to get your evidence so scheduled as to accommodate this particular thing rather than run the risk the next time she goes that she can't be found by anybody.

So, now that she is available, I think that moots the question of whether or not we can take up secondary evidence of what she would have said, and Idon't



"2 cks

I

PRECISION REPORTING AND TRANSCRIBING, INC.

P. D. Box 26163 Raisigh, North Carolina 27811



cks

in this case. I would request that she be brought here forthwith to Raleigh and, as soon as she is here and we have a chance to interview her, we intend to call her as a witness. That is my request and if I can be notified---

THE COURT: That is all we needed to know. Just tell the magistrate that there is no bond and just bring her here and make her available to the Defense counsel.

LAW CLERK: He is awaiting word from our office.

MR. MURTAGH: I will try and be brief,
Your Honor.

(Bench conference terminated.)

BY MR. MURTAGH:

Q Dr. Thornton, let me repeat my question.
With respect to your test handprints on the fabric
that you used. What position, sir, was the cloth in
when you put your handprint on it?

A In various configurations. Some of the series--several series of the experiments were conducted with the cloth on a flat surface with my hand pressed down on it. Other series were conducted in which I would put my hand over the cloth which was



PRECISION REPORTING AND TRANSCRIBING, INC.

P. O. Box 26163 Raisigh, North Garolina 27611

# Joe McGinniss FATAL VISION

G.P. PUTNAM'S SONS / NEW YORK

# Author's Note

In the interest of protecting the privacy of individuals whose real identities are not central to the true story told here, certain names and other descriptive details have been altered in several instances.

Copyright © 1983 by Joe McGinniss All rights reserved. This book, or parts thereof, must not be reproduced in any form without permission. Published simultaneously in Canada by General Publishing Co. Limited, Toronto.

The author gratefully acknowledges permission from Front Line Management Company, Inc., to reprint lyrics from "Heartache Tonight," by Don Henley, Glenn Frey, Bob Seger, and J. D. Souther, © 1979 Cass County Music & Red Cloud Music & Gear Publishing & Ice Age Music ASCAP; permission from Music Music Inc. to reprint lyrics from "The Ballad of the Green Berets," words and music by Barry Sadler and Robin Moore, copyright © 1963, 1964 & 1966 by Music Music Music Inc.; and permission from Dwarf Music, Inc., to reprint lyrics from "I Shall Be Released," words and music by Bob Dylan, copyright © 1967, 1970 Dwarf Music.

## Designed by Ann Spinelli

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data McGinniss, Joe. Fatal vision.

1. MacDonald, Jeffrey R., 1943- . 2. Crime and criminals-United States-Biography. 3. Murder-North Carolina. I. Title. HV6248.M178M33 1983 364.1'523'0924 [B] 82-24127 ISBN 0-399-12816-6

Printed in the United States of America

Fifth Impression

### FATAL VISION / 527

and eager to testify about how, nine and a half years earlier, Helena Stoeckley had joked to him about her icepick and had said that in her mind she thought she might have been at 544 Castle Drive while the murders were being committed.

Then word was received that Stoeckley herself had been located: hiding in the back of a trailer on the outskirts of Walhalla, South Carolina. She was immediately transported to Raleigh in

the custody of federal agents.

At four minutes before ten o'clock on the morning of Thursday, August 16, 1979—exactly one month after the trial of Jeffrey MacDonald had begun, and nine and a half years, to the day, since Monday, February 16, 1970—a day which had ended with her taking mescaline in her driveway on Clark Street in Fayetteville—Helena Stoeckley, escorted by a U.S. marshal, walked into a small office on the ninth floor of the Federal Building in Raleigh, where Bernie Segal was waiting for her, hoping to persuade her to confess.

She was neatly, even demurely attired in white shoes and a floral print dress. Her hair was black, her complexion sallow. She was many pounds overweight. Her eyes were dull and her thin lips unexpressive. She spoke in a soft voice almost entirely devoid of affect. Her left arm was in a cast. It had been broken in Cincinnati, two weeks earlier, when someone had hit her with a tire iron during a dispute involving narcotics. Her flancé, Ernest Davis, whom she had met in the drug rehabilitation center in Columbia, South Carolina, paced barefoot, unwashed,

and unshaved in a small corridor outside the office.

For almost a decade, in Bernie Segal's mind, Helena Stoeckley had been a figure of near-mythic proportion. Now here she was, three feet from him, politely declining his offer of coffee and doughnuts. She would, she said, be grateful for a can of diet soda.

Segal began to speak in a voice so quiet and so gentle that it was as if Helena were sleeping and he did not want to risk awakening her. Yet there was an almost painful intensity to his tone. This woman, he believed, had the power to set Jeffrey MacDonald free and to provide Segal with the greatest triumph of his career. His first words were like surgical instruments. Utilized with the utmost skill and delicacy, they might enable him to stride forth from this room and announce to the judge and the jury—and the press—that there was no need to proceed further with the trial: one of the real killers had just confessed.

Segal had, at his side, an album containing photographs of

# JOE McGINNISS / 528

the crime scene. He placed it on a table before Stoeckley. The first picture was not a particularly horrid one: all it showed was a portion of the kitchen of 544 Castle Drive. There was a calendar hanging on a wall. The top page of the calendar said February 1970.

"See that, Helena?" Segal said softly, leaning so close to her that he could have put his arm around her if he'd desired. "See that calendar? It has been there for nine years. Waiting for somebody to tell us how this story should end."

She stared at the picture. There was absolutely no change of expression on her face. She took a sip of diet soda.

"I can't help you," she said tonelessly. "I wasn't in that house. I didn't have anything to do with any of this."

Bernie Segal began to shake his head. "No, Helena. That won't do. You can't get away with that anymore. It's got to end. We are at trial now. The time has come. I'm serious, Helena. You were in that house. I know it and you know it. Now let's talk about it. Don't go on punishing yourself."

She stared at the floor, shaking her head, still with no change of expression. "I don't know what you want to know. I was never in that house."

"Helena, believe me," Segal said. "If you talk to me here, if you tell me what happened, I can make this very short and painless. Helena, you can put it behind you forever. Now, for your own conscience. And for the sake of that man in the courtroom. That man who has been made to suffer unjustly for nine years.

"And, Helena, you will not be prosecuted. Nothing will happen to you. That I can promise you. The statute of limitations has expired. This is the end, Helena. Right now. Right here. All you have to do is talk to me."

For the first time, Stoeckley looked directly at Bernie Segal. "I can't help you," she said. "I can't tell you things I don't remember."

For nearly two hours, Segal persisted. His tone changed from soothing and protective to harsh and demanding and back again. It made no difference. He might as well have been a morning quiz show. Stoeckley was tuned to his station but she was only a viewer, nothing more. There was nothing he could do or say to move her.

"Helena, people have gone to the electric chair for having said one-tenth of what you've said about this case! I've got six witnesses! People to whom you've already confessed! They're waiting in the next room. One after another, I'm going to put

### FATAL VISION / 529

them on the stand and have them tell the jury what you've told them. Then, by law, I have to put you on the stand."

She looked at him coolly. In silence.

"Helena, the choice is yours."

"I can't help you."

"Helena, remember what you told Jane Zillioux? 'The blood ... I remember the blood on my hands!' "

She shook her head. "I don't remember ever saying that."

"Do you think Jane Zillioux is lying?"

"I didn't say that. I just said I don't remember saying that." Still, there was no inflection, no spark—not even of resentment—in her voice. "Do you realize how much drugs I've taken since that happened? I'm not gonna sit there and say yes to things I didn't say, or things I don't remember saying. Besides," she said, "how do you know he's not guilty?"

Segal returned to the albums of crime scene and autopsy photographs. He turned to a picture of Kimberly. A picture that showed the fracture of her skull and the piece of cheekbone

protruding through the skin of her face.

"That was his flesh and blood, Helena. What kind of father

could do that to his own flesh and blood?"

"Somebody on drugs could do something like that. Not acid. Maybe speed. Did they do blood tests on him right away?"

"Yes, Helena. They did blood tests. There were no drugs,

there was no alcohol.'

"Has he been given psychiatric evaluation and everything?"

"Yes, Helena, he has been given all of that." Segal flipped to a picture of Colette. "Look at his wife, Helena. Look at this picture. Her jaw was broken. Both of her arms were broken. Her skull was fractured right down the middle. She was stabbed—with a knife, with an icepick—dozens of times. Helena, that was the work of a repulsive, crazy person. Dr. MacDonald is a normal, decent human being. Even the Army psychiatrists who examined him agreed to that."

Segal turned to a picture of Kristen. A colored picture, taken before the body had been removed from the bed. The bright

red of her blood filled the room.

"Only somebody crazy or whacked out on drugs could have done something like that," Stoeckley said. "I don't know what anybody else is capable of, but I know I'm not capable of that."

"Helena, no one is asking you to say that you did that. You will not be touched. I promise you. You will not be indicted, ever. All you have to say is you were there, holding the candle.

# JOE McGINNISS / 530

Saying, 'Acid is groovy.' You don't remember hurting anybody. Then you ran out the back door.'

He turned to another picture of Kimberly. "Helena, help us end it. I beg of you. Look at this child's face. For God's sake. To accuse the father of these babies of having done that to them ... Helena, look at this! Look at this one. Smashed with a club. Come on, Helena, how much longer will that man have to sit there, accused of something so monstrous. You have it in your power, Helena, to end it. Right now. Otherwise, Helena, I guarantee you: I am going to take you into court.'

"If I could remember," she told him, "I would say."

Segal stepped into an adjacent room, where his other witnesses had been waiting. One by one, like the ghosts of Christmas past, he brought them in to confront her with the things she had said to them years before. Beasley. Gaddis. Zillioux. Underhill. The polygraph man, whose name was Brisentine. And finally her ex-neighbor, Posey.

None of it mattered. She said hello. She said, nice to see you, how've you been? But whenever they asked her about the MacDonald case, she said "I don't remember any of that."

One by one, the witnesses trooped out of the room. Segal was admitting defeat. There would be no dramatic announcement. There would be no news bulletins on TV. No eightcolumn headlines across page one. There would just be a truculent, uncommunicative, apathetic witness-thirty pounds overweight and looking far less menacing than pathetic—telling the jury she didn't remember a thing.

Now, too late, Bernie Segal realized he would have been better off if she'd never been found. Much easier to have conjured up the image of a drug-crazed and murderous hippie from the distant and dangerous past and to have the jury seize upon that as an explanation than to present them with this burned out woman and expect them to believe that she had ever stood over a couch on which Jeffrey MacDonald had been sleeping and had held a candle beneath her face while chanting, "Acid is groovy . . . Kill the pigs . . . Acid and rain . . .

Segal left her, in the company of her fiance, the barefoot and bedraggled Ernest Davis, while he went to inform the judge that he had completed his witness interview and that he prepared for the trial to resume.

It was now lunchtime. Helena Stoeckley had been given bologna sandwich. She sat quietly, placidly, chewing her for and slowly turning the pages of the crime scene and autop photo albums, as if she were browsing through a movie mag-

### FATAL VISION / 531

On the witness stand, under oath, Helena Stoeckley denied that she had ever been found by a psychiatrist to be mentally ill or that she had ever been committed to a mental institution. This was, of course, untrue. She had been a psychiatric patient at the University of North Carolina medical center in the spring of 1971 and had been diagnosed as a paranoid schizophrenic, subject to delusions and hallucinations.

In describing her educational background, Stoeckley said she had attended Aquinas Junior College in Nashville during 1971 and 1972 and had studied police science there. In 1974, she said, she had taken a six-month operating room technician's course at a Fayetteville hospital. In 1975, at Daytona Beach Junior College, she said, she had taken nursing courses.

She then described the extent of her narcotics addiction during the early months of 1970. She had been injecting heroin and liquid opium intravenously six to seven times per day. She had also smoked marijuana and hashish on a daily basis; had consumed LSD "almost daily," and mescaline "about twice a week," in addition to using barbiturates and "angel dust."

On Monday, February 16, 1970, she had followed her usual pattern of drug consumption, topping it off with the tablet of mescaline given to her in her driveway by a soldier from Fort Bragg named Greg. She recalled reentering her apartment after having consumed the mescaline but said she remembered nothing after that until her return to the apartment at about 4:30 or 5 A.M., in a blue car with "two or three" soldiers from Fort Bragg. She said she could recall neither the owner of the car nor any of the other passengers.

She said she did not recall ever telling anyone that she thought she might have been involved in the MacDonald murders—only that she could not remember where she had been during the time that the murders had taken place. She added: All I said to the CID whenever I talked to them was I didn't know where I was at that time."

This was by no means the startling testimony for which Bernie Segal had been hoping. It seemed, in fact, actively harmful to his case. Segal lost further ground when, on cross-examination, Jim Blackburn extracted from Stoeckley the remark that he had worn her blond wig "infrequently," and that she had not been wearing it on the night of Monday, February 16, because Greg, who had given her the mescaline, did not like it.

She also said she had never been inside 544 Castle Drive and hat she had never seen Jeffrey MacDonald until that very norning when she had entered the courtroom to testify.

Cas	e 3:75-cr-00026-F Document 132-14 Filed 03/30/2006 Page 32 of 4
1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
3	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA
4	FAYETTEVILLE DIVISION
5	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) .
6	)
7	v. ) NO. 75-26-CR-3
8	JEFFREY R. MacDONALD, )
9	Defendant. )
10	TRIAL BEFORE
11	THE HONORABLE FRANKLIN T. DUPREE, JR.
12	UNITED STATES CHIEF DISTRICT JUDGE
13	AND A JURY
14	AT RALEIGH: THURSDAY, AUGUST 16, 1979
15	AI RALEIGH: INDRSDAI, AUGUSI 10, 1979
16	
17	PAGES 5494-5507 TRIAL DAY TWENTY
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



# Page 33 of 44 Case 3:75-cr-00026-F Document 132-14 Filed 03/30/2006 APPEARANCES 2 On Behalf of the United States: 3 GEORGE M. ANDERSON United States Attorney 5 By: JAMES L. BLACKBURN Assistant United States Attorney 6 JACK B. CRAWLEY, JR. Assistant United States Attorney 7 Post Office Box 26897 Raleigh, North Carlina 27611 8 (919) 755-4530 9 10 BRIAN MURTAGH, Attorney Criminal Division United States Department of Justice 11 Washington, D.C. 20530 12 13 On Behalf of the Defendant MacDonald: 14 BERNARD L. SEGAL, Attorney 15 SARA SIMMONS, Attorney 536 Mission Street 16 San Francisco, California 94105 (514) 543-2512 17 WADE M. SMITH, Attorney 18 Tharrington, Smith & Hargrove 300 Branch Bank Building 19 Post Office Box 1151 Raleigh, North Carolina 27602 20 (919) 821-4711 21 22 23 24 25



MR. SMITH: Your Honor, let me express the thanks of the defense for the Court's indulgence and the jury's indulgence this morning as we have had an opportunity to talk with this witness who has, indeed, been unavailable to either side for a long period of time.

We have almost concluded our discussions with the witness and will be in a position very shortly to turn the witness over to the Government if the



19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

PRECISION REPORTING AND TRANSCRIBING, INC.

P. O. Box 20163 Raisigh. North Carolina 27611

1 Government desires to talk with the witness. 2 will leave any remarks to Mr. Blackburn about that. 3 THE COURT: Will it take any particular degree in education in the guess that you 5 would want to talk to her? 6 MR. BLACKBURN: No, sir; I don't think it 7 would. 8 THE COURT: Members of the jury. 9 counsel have conferred with the Court since you were 10 released this morning, and because of the situation 11 which they have stated here just briefly, it appears 12 that this witness who was just the first time in 13 Raleigh this morning around 9:00 o'clock as I under-14 stand it and considered by both sides to be a very 15 important witness in the case--I know nothing about the 16 witness; but I have acceded to the request of counsel 17 for both sides that they be entitled to continue and 18 complete their interrogation of this particular witness 19 before any other evidence, which I understand was, in 20 fact, dependent upon the foundation to be established 21 by this particular witness. Is that so, Mr. Smith? 22 MR. SMITH: Yes, sir. 23 THE COURT: I know you were not 24 paying attention, but is that so? 25 MR. SMITH: Whatever you say, Your

Document 132-14 Filed 03/30/2006



Case 3:75-cr-00026-F

PRECISION REPORTING AND TRANSCRIBING, INC.

P. O. Box 26163 Releigh. North Carolina 27611 MAIN OFFICE, RALEIGH, 832-9085

DURHAM 471-3528

CHAPEL HILL 933-3754

PITTSBORO 542-3374

Page 35 of 4

Honor.

R

THE COURT: So, with a considerable amount of reluctance and also with the Court's most sincere apologies to you, I have concluded to recess the Court since we had to recess early this afternoon because of another matter involving another trip to the crime scene--so, I am going to recess the Court until tomorrow morning at 9:00 o'clock. You will get the afternoon off.

I say again that I am somewhat taken aback by this development. I am sorry that we have to do it, but having spent this much time in the case, of course, I did not feel in all fairness that we should put counsel immediately to the production of testimony from this particular witness.

It is also sort of a unique experience.

You may be aware that we keep to our schedule pretty closely. We start on time and try to stop on time.

That has been a policy of this Court—a procedure adopted right from the first time of its coming here to hold Court because the Court is very solicitous of the comfort, convenience, and welfare of jurors.

I say again as I so frequently say, there is no more important, higher, nobler duty and responsibility of citizenship than that of service on our juries. And I



PRECISION REPORTING AND TRANSCRIBING, INC.

P. O. Box 26163 Raleigh, North Caroline 2761)

I will commend you again for the very fine way in which you have accepted this awesome responsibility in this particular case.

I feel that I detect that you have maintained attitudes of cheerfulness and I know it has been not without considerable inconvenience to some of you, but you are discharging the duty in the finest traditions of jury service and in the administration of justice.

If there is nothing further then to come before us this afternoon, the Court will be recessed until tomorrow morning at 9:00 o'clock.

MR. SEGAL: After the jury is excused, I have a brief administrative matter, Your Honor.

never stops work, but I don't want to keep these jurors here any longer, so we will let you retire until tomorrow morning at 9:00 o'clock. We will go back on our regular Friday schedule--witness or no.

(Jury exits at 1:08 p.m.)

THE COURT: You gentlemen have something scheduled to entertain the big audience we have this afternoon for a while?

MR. SEGAL: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I feel like they have been

PRECISION REPORTING AND TRANSCRIBING, INC.

cheated, too.

า5

-1

MR. SEGAL: I can tell Your Honor and then you can decide how we should proceed. The witness whose problems have caused our delay today was taken into custody pursuant to a material witness warrant that was issued upon my request. We have interviewed the witness. The Government intends to interview her.

She and a man who identifies himself as her fiance are concerned about the necessity for her continued confinement pending the disposition of her appearance here. They have made a request to me and I have had occasion to speak to a witness who I think may be somewhat helpful and who knows Ms. Stoeckley well. That is former Fayetteville Police Detective Mr. P. E. Beasley. Mr. Beasley is here.

What Ms. Stoeckley has asked and Mr. Davis, her fiance, have asked is that we consider asking the Court on her behalf to lift the warrant. We will serve her with a subpoena and that she will, if brought into Court or otherwise, she and her fiance have both promised that they would appear.

Mr. Beasley, former Detective Beasley knows
Ms. Stoeckley for something like 20 years--ten years,
Your Honor. Perhaps his view on the matter would be



PRECISION REPORTING AND TRANSCRIBING, INC.

P. O. Box 20163 Raisigh, North Caroline 27811 MAIN OFFICE, RALEIGH, 832-9085

DURHAM 471-3528

CHAPEL HILL 933-3754

PITTSBORO 542-3374

believes that having given her word both to Mr. Beasley, herself, and to the Court, if necessary, that a subpoena would assure her appearance hereafter. I don't mean to release her prior to being interrogated by the Government. I am of the opinion myself---

just say in that connection that I will not release her until both sides have had a full and fair opportunity.

That is why we are taking this day off that started out as a 30-minute request. I extended it to 45 and then an hour and 15 and now it is all day. But surely, we are not going to let this witness go until both sides have had ample opportunity to talk with her.

MR. SEGAL: The request is whether she needs to continue to be lodged in prison pending her testimony or her final release by anybody in the case. I must say, Your Honor, that I have talked with her fiance, and I would suggest to the Court that perhaps the best way to decide the request—and certainly we would be happy to hear it—would be perhaps to hear from former Detective Beasley as to whether he thinks the representations made that she will appear are worth anything and whether the Court should consider that.



. 1

PRECISION REPORTING AND TRANSCRIBING, INC.

P. O. Box 20163 Releigh, North Careline 27811



ก7 -1

. λ

PRECISION REPORTING AND TRANSCRIBING, INC.

P. O. Box 20163 Releigh, North Caroline 27611

Document 132-14 Filed 03/30/2006

8 1





19 -1

PRECISION REPORTING AND TRANSCRIBING, INC.

P. O. Box 26163 Rateigh, North Caroline 27611

did not want to come here—including, I might say,
hiding out in the physical structure in which she was
supposed to be residing. Taking that into account
with the fact that Mr. Beasley—of course, whom I have
never met before and don't mean to imply anything by
this—is as I understand it, a potential witness for the
Defendant. Based on what this witness might or might not
say or have said in the past, that at the very least, we
would appreciate a deferral of your ruling until after
we have talked with the witness. I think the Government
is concerned that so much trouble was spent in locating
her and bringing her in the first place. We are very
skeptical of releasing her at this time.

THE COURT: I will not release her at this time.

MR. BLACKBURN: Thank you.

the witness, and it may be that it will be resolved by releasing her from a subpoena. It could be--I don't know anything about it--but conceivably, she might not even be a witness in the case, but I am not going to release her until both sides have had a chance to talk to her and then you may let me know not later than 4:15 this afternoon.

MR. BLACKBURN: I might ask counsel, I know



